University Facility Fee Advisory Board
Minutes
Thursday, April 2, 2020
5:00-7:30 pm
Zoom virtual meeting (Voting Meting!)

Members present	
Nick Bohn	Chair
Dillon Donaghy	Vice Chair
Mauri Richards	Secretary
Courtland Kelly	Graduate School
Westin Musser	Natural Sciences
Natalie Miller	CVMBS
Logan Johnson	Warner
Deven Shields	Engineering
Alison Kuderka	College of Agricultural Sciences
Leah Karels	College of Health and Human Sciences
Marie Cusick	College of Liberal Arts
Isha Sahasrabudhe	College of Business

Associate Members present	
Zach Scott	Engineering
Kevin Clausen	College of Agricultural Sciences
Lauren Compton	CVMBS
Isha Agarwal	College of Business
Adrien Hernandez	Engineering

Members at Large present
NA

Other Members present	
Karin Rees	Program Assistant
Tom Satterly	Advisor
Simon Tavener	Co-Advisor
Tamla Blunt	Plant Sciences
Nate Akers	SFRB Representative
Stephanie Swanson	Financial Coordinator

1. **Meeting convened at 5:00 pm**
2. **Review of Zoom rules**
3. **Individuals that will not be returning next year:** (X6) people… send an email to Karin!
4. **Elections and bylaw review next week (April 9, 2020)**

5. **<u>Voting on proposals:</u>**
6. Student seating in the COB
    a. Discussion: Westin motion to remove student seating in the COB
        i. Seconded by Natalie
        ii. Challenging to with furniture… Was not convinced how the existing furniture will be stored away
        iii. Nick Mentioned that there are student seating in the areas that they are looking to replace
    b. Vote: **Not Funded**
        i. Vote to remove this project:
            1. **Yes: 10**
            2. No: 0
            3. Abstain: 0
        ii. Project removed from funding options

7. Revitalizations of the College of Natural Sciences Undergrad lab spaces
    a. Discussion: Logan motions to start a discussion
        i. Many people with a scientific space need to update these areas
        ii. Pure number of students impacted is extremely large
        iii. Impact a lot of students across the colleges
        iv. Courtland mentions that most students come to these classrooms early in their CSU Experience
    b. Vote: **Funded**
        i. **Yes:10**
        ii. No: 0
        iii. Abstain: 0

8. GA classroom: ENG 103
    a. Discussion: Marie motioned to vote about this classroom
        i. This is a general assignment classroom, also named one of the worst classrooms
        ii. The general assignment classrooms see a lot of travel in this area
    b. Vote: **Funded**
        i. **Yes: 10**
        ii. No: 0
        iii. Abstain: 0

9. GA classroom: ENG 105
    a. Discussion: Deven motioned to vote on E105
        i. General assignment classroom that needs to have work done
        ii. E105 and E 103 may get funded at the same time
    b. Vote: **Funded**
        i. **Yes: 10**
        ii. No: 0
        iii. Abstain: 0

10. Physics D and E wing study space renovation and improvement
    a. Discussion: Mauri motioned to vote on this project
        i. Very beneficial for a large amount of students sitting on the floor
        ii. Some downsides… while this space need a better space
            1. Increase the seating for students= only slightly
            2. Seemed slightly short sighted because there were not any more seats
            3. Money may be better upstairs than downstairs
    b. Vote: **Not funded**
        i. Yes: 2
        ii. No: 8
        iii. Abstain:0

11. Guggenheim Hall 107 GA classroom remodel
    a. Discussion: Natalie motioned to fund Guggenheim
        i. Need for the project seems high and even though the space is not ideal it deserves some funding
        ii. 3,000 for AV, can we still fund this? Yes
            1. Other GA classrooms also have an AV fee
    b. Vote: **Funded**
        i. Yes: 10
        ii. No: 0
        iii. Abstain: 0

12. CSU Mountain campus New and old classroom replacement of building heating systems
    a. Discussion: During the first session, there can be a lot of snow that comes down there, this project can increase comfort a lot
        i. Being in these buildings during cold winters is challenging
        ii. The mountain campus is a very important part of campus
    b. Vote: **Funded**
        i. **Yes: 10**
        ii. No: 0
        iii. Abstain: 0

13. Computer Science Classroom and teaching lab upgrades
    a. Discussion: Westin motions to approve this project
        i. The computer sciences building will continue to grow, highly value these labs and an enhancement will help. Liberal arts students are impacted along with CNS students.
        ii. From the presentation, chairs seemed bad and space can be improved upon
    b. Vote: **Funded**
        i. **Yes: 10**
        ii. No: 0
        iii. Abstain: 0

14. BSB Furniture revitalization
    a. Discussion: Natalie votes to remove this project
        i. Furniture on the main level is slightly bad, maybe fund the main floor
        ii. Fund only the main floor?
        iii. Furniture on the other floors is still in fairly good condition
        iv. Mauri motioned to vote on funding the first floor: $99, 720.65
            1. Discussion on funding only first floor:
                a. This is a heavily used space… more of an increase of comfortable use
                b. Giving them new furniture to replace old furniture that can go to surplus and trickle down.
                c. Amend this on for funding first floor only
                d. Vote to table discussion:
                    i. Yes: 10
                    ii. No:0
                e. **Tabled for later**
            2. Reopened discussion:
                a. Mauri motioned to talk about the BSB furniture on the first floor
                b. This year's project makes the area much more useful for everyone in other colleges
                c. We need to weigh either funding both Mountain campus furniture and engineering 206
            3. Reopened discussion for both engineering E206 and furniture on mountain campus
                a. Variety of students will be impacted if we fund BSB furniture and the Mountain campus classroom
                b. Vote:
                    i. In favor of Engineering Classroom and mountain campus seating: 1
                    ii. Opposed to engineering classroom and mountain campus seating: 9
                    iii. **Vote did not pass… back into conversation about BSB**
                c. Large amount of traffic and students impacted with this building being the central part of campus. This is a very used building.
                d. The first floor BSB is more of a lounge space rather than a student classroom. Some students are forced to be in a specific classroom
    b. Vote: **partially funded**
        i. Voting on first floor furniture only:
            1. Yes: 10
            2. No: 0
            3. Abstain: 0

15. Natural and environmental sciences building→ First floor B-Wing classroom and computer lab remodel
    a. Discussion: Logan motioned to discuss funding this project
        i. Furniture seemed pretty beat up in this classroom
        ii. This department is running on low space right now because of the current remodel
        iii. This is half of our remaining budget
        iv. Motion for partial funding $65,000 for furniture
            1. Seems as though they were trying to refresh the whole thing, Just furniture would not be enough for this/ what is panned on
            2. Voting for entire $65,000
                a. Yes: 6
                b. No: 4
            3. **Approve the funding for the furniture (amendment passed)**
    b. Vote: Vote above; **Only furniture funded**

16. "Venture Lounge" entrepreneurship and innovation student co-working space refresh
    a. Discussion: cost required for the project is rather low and students would benefit from this
        i. Value of rooms like this for a good study space and entrepreneurial endeavors
        ii. Working with students across campus and alums
        iii. This project reaches all projects and is growing rapidly
    b. Vote: **Funded**
        i. **Yes: 10**
        ii. No: 0
        iii. Abstain: 0

17. CSU Mountain campus old and new classroom FF&E
    a. Discussion: Logan motioned to vote to replace mountain campus furniture because it is extremely uncomfortable to sit in
        i. Is this for new and old, or just one?
            1. This is for both classrooms
        ii. Prioritizing old classroom
        iii. One is for 35,000 (new) and the other is for 43,000 (old)
        iv. 43,000 would not actually cover contingency for the project, add on 10% and project management fees
            1. With percentages included, the project may be $ 51,300
        v. **Table this discussion:**
            1. Yes: 10
            2. No: 0
        vi. Discussion opened back up after funding the First floor of BSB furniture
    b. Discussion opened back up to spend the rest of the budget available to give this project more funding to give them wiggle room to try to get both classrooms done. We have $35,000 left.

i. This would put us right underneath their ask toward the new classroom
ii. Could see that saving this money would be helpful

c. Vote fund $51,600 of mountain campus **OLD** classroom: **Funded**
i. Yes: 10
ii. No: 0
iii. Abstain: 0

d. Vote to fund $35,000 left to furniture in the New classroom: **Funded to receive remainder of the funds**
i. Yes: 6
ii. No: 4
iii. Abstain: 0

18. Clark C145
a. Discussion:
i. Motion to take it off the board because this project cannot be stretched very far is Clark is going to be funded
ii. Seems as if fixing now would be a waste of resources
iii. Re-do this classroom just to be torn down, do not fund this
iv. This is not a classroom, it is an underutilized lab space that could use improvements to be used more

b. Vote to no longer consider: **Not funded**
i. Yes: 10
ii. No: 0
iii. Abstain: 0

19. Education Room 4 refresh
a. Discussion: Kevin motioned to fund this project
i. They matched the appropriate teaching pedagogy
ii. They are doing a lot of funding matching for this project
iii. Only asking for the facility items rather than other AV stuff

b. Vote: **Funded**
i. Yes: 10
ii. No: 0
iii. Abstain:0

20. Creating a welcoming student services area within international programs
a. Discussion: Mauri motioned to discuss this, but is worried that this project seems like it was slightly thrown together
i. They are asking for technology in this area as well
ii. Concerned that the new furniture for new student advising offices is a euphemism
iii. Impact on education is not as large as other projects could

b. Vote: Motion to get rid of this project: **Not funded**
i. Yes to remove this project: 10

ii. No: 0

21. Improving health and safety culture in chemistry by modernizing student researcher offices
    a. Discussion: Natalie suggests to talk about the chemistry research offices
        i. These offices are beneficial, but only 57 people total would be impacted in these spaces
        ii. This is one of the older buildings, so partial funding would impact students more
    b. Vote: **Not funded**
        i. Yes to remove this project: 9
        ii. No: 1

22. GA classroom: ENG 203
    a. Discussion: Zach motions to fund this due to the fact that these classrooms are in constant use and they impact a lot of students
        i. Impact a variety of students, there is a large discrepancy between these projects (the other general assignment classrooms)
        ii. Issues arise in each of these classrooms
    b. Vote: **Funded**
        i. **Yes: 10**
        ii. No: 0
        iii. Abstain: 0

23. GA classroom: ENG 206
    a. Discussion: We have $30k left for a classroom, Westin moves to remove this classroom from partial funding because it will not have the same amount of impact.
    b. Vote: **Not funded**

24. **Proposal Voting Summary:**

Project	Funded/Not Funded	Amount
Student seating in the COB	*Not Funded*	$0
Revitalizations of the College of Natural Sciences Undergrad lab spaces	*Funded*	$523,432
GA classroom: ENG 103	*Funded*	$102,450.24
GA classroom: ENG 105	*Funded*	$131,835.52
Physics D and E wing study space renovation and improvement	*Not Funded*	$0
Guggenheim Hall 107 GA classroom remodel	*Funded*	$113,494.32
CSU Mountain campus New and old classroom replacement of building heating systems	*Funded*	$47,632.18
Computer Science Classroom and teaching lab upgrades	*Funded*	$232,237
BSB Furniture revitalization	*Partial funding*	$99,720.65
Natural and environmental sciences building→ First floor B-Wing classroom and computer lab remodel	*Partial funding*	$65,000

"Venture Lounge"	*Funded*	$26,500
CSU Mountain campus old and new classroom FF&E	*Partial funding*	$87,190
Clark C145	*Not Funded*	$0
Education Room 4 refresh	*Funded*	$16,857.73
Creating a welcoming student services area within international programs	*Not Funded*	$0
Improving health and safety culture in chemistry by modernizing student researcher offices	*Not Funded*	$0
GA classroom: ENG 203	*Funded*	$153,649.92
GA classroom: ENG 206	*Not Funded*	$0

---

1. **<u>Voting on Student Fee facility increase:</u>**
    a. Fee increase is proposed for $3: $2.62 to Glover and Discovery Center, and $0.38 for UFFAB to expand cash projects
    b. Not putting student fees toward Clark, they are putting it on the list for the state.
    c. Options:
        i. We can fund $2.62
        ii. We can fund a little extra and raise that to $2.70
        iii. We can increase the fee now to have more cash funds from UFFAB (last fee increase was 5 years ago)
            1. The current fee is $20.75
        iv. Other option is $0.38 toward a savings fund to avoid our bonding capacity to be limited and to put up part two of these projects
    d. Discussion:
        i. Why did this drop from $4? Clark got pushed to be funded by the state rather than student fees
        ii. Straw count initially:
            1. Yes: 10
        iii. Will students be less receptive to a fee increase during this time of unease?
            1. We are in a hard time in the US as a whole.
            2. This will not go into effect for a few years, this crisis may last for a long time, but this project that we are looking at now is much larger than this current crisis.
            3. $3 is much more manageable than the $4.
        iv. Kevin: Do fee increases not apply to veterinary students as well?
            1. This can be discussed more, it is currently at 15 credit hours
        v. This is a significantly large chunk of money, but this is for three buildings right on the academic spine→ Major players on our academic campus
        vi. $311 to $356 per student per semester… does this go to a good enough use?
        vii. Is this because of an increase in proposals? Or other reasons that have not been mentioned?

1. Constructions costs are higher and more expensive projects are down the pipeline, there are other projects that could be funded each year. This way we are not trying to increase the fee… We have been building a lot on campus recently so we may see the need for more cash projects
2. $350 million projects of deferred maintenance

viii. Engineering college council fee increase presentation:
1. $3.90 fee increase, majority vote was for the fee increase. Some had concerns about the project.
2. Largest concern is when fee increase would be implemented
3. Construction will last about three and a half years for design start… two years off at a minimum for the first shovel to go in the ground. 5 years (ish) from now to see any building done. There is still a lot of time before these buildings are done. Students that pay for these will not reap the benefits.

ix. Lauren and Natalie had a positive reaction, but other boards are asking for buildings as well. Did not have a chance to have a full discussion about this. Individuals are generally on board for the fee increase.

x. Warner College council… 75% on board with the project.
1. Do we have to pay because we are not seeing the benefits?

xi. CNS liked the project a lot, a comment was that they were very happy to represent future students in the form of the facilities.

xii. CLA liked the project because they were excited about Clark mainly. Concerned about affordability of CSU.

xiii. Nick went to ASCSU and presented about the project
1. Similar concerns: affordability, timing of things
2. Leave something better than we found it, we can leave our mark on CSU

xiv. Will we choose what buildings get funded?
1. No, the $2.62 will be decided by others how items will get funded.
2. UFFAB funds only go to Glover and the BDC, Clark funding from the State and Central admin

xv. Vote to raise the fee $2.62: **Approved**
1. Yes: 9
2. No: 0
3. Abstain: 0

xvi. Vote to raise the fee $0.38 to go to cash funded projects: **Approved**
1. Yes: 9
2. No: 0
3. Abstain: 0

xvii. **<u>We will be requesting $3.00 from SFRB to raise the facility student fee</u>**
1. Nick will be presenting on the 14th of April to SFRB

2. Next week: Bylaws and voting members