

University Facility Fee Advisory Board

Minutes

Thursday, January 23, 2020

5:00-6:00 pm

303 GSB

Members present

Nick Bohn	Chair
Dillon Donaghy	Vice Chair
Mauri Richards	Secretary
Marie Cusick	Liberal Arts
Arnold Paecklar	Graduate School
Westin Musser	Natural Sciences
Natalie Miller	CVMBS
Logan Johnson	Warner
Deven Shields	Engineering

Associate Members present

Zach Scott	Engineering
------------	-------------

Members at Large present

NA

Other Members present

Karin Rees	Program Assistant
Tom Satterly	Advisor
Simon Tavener	Co-Advisor

1. Visit from President McConnell and Lynn Johnson:

- a. CSU Main Campus Major Redevelopment review
 - i. Debt capacity (150 million dollar capacity) determines accreditation rating
 - ii. Roof space is not equal to the amount of building space that we have on campus (ie. Campus will go up vertically more)
 - 1. Clark-- \$10 million dollars of classroom renovations in the past
 - a. 95% of students will travel through it
 - b. Considering to remove the B-wing
 - 2. Glover (1-2 story building)
 - a. Footprint can support two different towers to increase square footage
 - 3. Physiology/Environmental Health (EHRS)

- a. 2 story building can build more
 - b. This can uncap the Biomedical sciences building
4. Ben Withers, Dr. Dean Setter, Dr. David McLean to see the impact of each of these renovations
5. Budget outline:
 - a. Glover Replacement – Phase 1: \$80,000,000
 - b. Clark Renovation – Phase 1: \$ 55,000,000
 - c. Biomedical Discovery Center: \$70,000,000
 - d. Existing funds: \$160,000,000
 - e. **Amount needed: \$45,000,000**
 - f. Do not exceed \$4 per credit hour (calculations presented at various interest rates (4%, 4.5%, 5%))
6. President McConnell:
 - a. Refer to this as an overall plan rather than individual projects. This will jump start innovation and catalyze change.
 - b. Where is our investment best placed and where we can get the most student support?
 - c. Clark: B-Wing come down → State accountability meeting present the highest priority buildings (1/2 Clark paid for by the state, the rest done by the university (does not use student fees at all))
7. Board discussion:
 - a. Looking at a fee increase
 - b. Timeline: 3 years (about)
 - i. Want to do this as soon as possible
 - ii. 2014—Last facilities fee increase for computer increase
 - iii. Construction costs will increase in the future
 - c. Now: \$20.75
 - i. Looking at a fee increase of \$3.36-\$3.90
 - ii. This would provide an extra \$50.37-\$58.55 to use toward these buildings and more in the future. This accounts for a possible credit hour production decrease in the future.
 - d. Possibly have another meeting to comb out the final details.
 - e. Just as a poll now to get a feel for the room, majority in favor (as of now, not an official vote)
 - f. Play the odds with the state or just say yes, complete the building
 - g. Fee increase specifically for these projects, not for other projects that come to the board
 - h. Renovate Clark first, last? --> Need to determine where the students would be located for classes.