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1. Voting Process -
   a. Becca let the Board know that Glover plans to redevelop are on a 3-5 year timeline, rather than the originally mentioned 8-10 year timeline.
   b. Tristan recognized the members of the Board who are graduating.
   c. Anthony motioned to discuss Richardson Design Center (RDC).
      i. Kyle seconded
   d. Kaitlyn said the technology present in RDC could benefit all students. She also mentioned how the college is growing and the area in general needs upkept.
   e. Brye supported the RDC proposal but felt odd that the Provost would match UFFAB’s investment for this project only.
   f. Grace said this project is happening regardless of UFFAB’s funding. She feels UFFAB should not pay for it.
   g. Rebecca supported partial funding for the RDC.
   h. Anthony said the funding for RDC is not worth not being able to fund half of the Board’s other proposals. He suggested funding half of the proposed amount, if any funding is approved.
   i. Kenzie did not understand why the University wouldn’t still pay the money they offered to match even if we don’t fund it.
   j. Brye said funding the other projects is more important.
   k. Grace did not think that the RDC will impact enough students.
   l. Anthony mentioned that even if UFFAB funded the RDC, the University would still need additional funding from donors.
   m. Natalie said that CVMBS would like to see other projects funded.
n. Patrick said the Board does not specifically know where the money is going and therefore, UFFAB should not fund it.
o. Brye and Nick both suggested partial funding for RDC if Glover is removed from consideration.
p. Grace motioned to remove Glover from consideration.
   i. Nick seconded that motion.
   ii. The Board voted unanimously in favor.
q. Jacob suggested to move RDC discussion for partial funding to the end of the meeting.
r. Patrick asserted that RDC should not be funded by UFFAB.

2. Simon advised the Board to avoid funding everything just because funding is available. He felt that would undermine what the Board is doing if UFFAB funds projects solely because money is available.

3. Jacob motioned to fund the “Modular Learning Spaces to Support Student Learning in Chemistry” project.
   a. Kyle seconded
   b. Anthony said he would like to see it funded because it is advantageous to students.
   c. Rebecca spoke of her own experience with the CLERC and would like to see it funded.
   d. Nick motioned to vote.
      i. Grace seconded
      ii. The Board voted unanimously in favor to approve funding for this project.

4. Anthony made a motion to discuss the classrooms in Military Sciences (200, 201).
   a. Grace seconded
   b. Grace supported both rooms being funded because the mechanical system will be upgraded for both classrooms.
   c. Nick motioned to vote.
      i. Jacob seconded.
      ii. The Board voted unanimously in favor to approve funding for this project.

5. Kyle motioned to move into discussion about funding Pathology 101.
   a. Grace seconded
   b. Anthony said this project will drastically improve student’s experiences in the classroom.
   c. Dillon and Kyle both spoke to the classrooms current deficiencies.
   d. Brye motioned to vote.
      i. Kyle seconded
      ii. The Board voted unanimously in favor to approve funding for this project.

6. Brye motioned to move into discussion about the “Electrical and Computer Engineering Renovation of Teaching Laboratories” proposal.
   a. Grace seconded
   b. Kenzie mentioned the cost per classroom seemed very reasonable.
   c. Brye said that the COE College Council would like to see it funded.
   d. Brye motioned to vote
      i. Kyle seconded
      ii. The Board voted unanimously in favor to approve funding for this project.

7. Grace motioned to remove the “Behavioral Science and Microbiology Student Lounges Carpet and Upholstery Replacement” proposal from funding consideration.
   a. Brye seconded
   b. The Board agreed that funding could be better spent elsewhere.
   c. Anthony motioned to vote.
      i. Nick seconded.
      ii. The Board voted unanimously in favor to remove this project from funding consideration.

8. Anthony made a motion to remove CBASS from funding consideration.
   a. Kenzie seconded
   b. Anthony would like to see more tangibles from CBASS to fund it.
   c. Dillon liked this project because the department is relatively small and this growth would be significant for them. He also agrees with the proposals vision.
   d. Kyle emphasized the lab space they are providing because they are good labs that would directly translate to the field.
e. Grace said the project is well thought out. It emulates the chemistry proposal.

f. Patrick mentioned the cost per classroom included in this proposal is less than $100,000 per classroom.

g. Anthony motioned to rescind previous motion and motioned to approve funding for this project.

i. Kyle seconded

ii. The Board voted unanimously in favor to approve funding for this project.

9. Kenzie motioned to move into discussion about the “Sherwood Forest Outdoor Learning Lab” project.

a. Patrick seconded.

b. Kenzie said the current state of Sherwood Forest is hazardous and will only get worse if not addressed. This project is a high priority for her council.

c. Grace feels this project is good for the Board to fund because UFFAB does not often get proposals that so aesthetically affect the campus.

d. Kenzie motioned to vote.

i. Nick seconded

ii. The Board voted unanimously in favor to approve funding for this project.

10. Jacob motioned to move into discussion about Engineering D102.

a. Nick seconded

b. Grace’s College Council strongly supported this project.

c. Brye spoke to how much the classroom is used per day almost 12 hours a day.

d. Brye motioned to vote.

i. Anthony seconded

ii. Approval to fund this project passed with 8 in favor-1 opposed-1 abstention.

11. Anthony motioned to move into discussion about “Microbiology 2nd Floor Classroom” proposal.

a. Grace seconded

b. Anthony thought the amount of remodeled space is very cost effective.

c. Dillon says this is course-based research that will get students into research positions. He really like the project.

d. Natalie say the lab experience is vital to getting a job out of college for microbiology majors.

e. Anthony motioned to vote.

i. Jacob Seconded

ii. Approval to fund this project passed with 9 in favor-0 opposed-1 abstention.

12. Kenzie motioned to remove the “Student Area Refresh - Seating and Messaging Boards” project from funding consideration.

a. Patrick seconded.

b. Haley conducted a survey in Rockwell and most students would like to see more seating. She thinks for the cost, this is a good project that will directly benefit students.

c. UFFAB has not funded a project from business since 2010 except for a small $6000 project 2-3 years ago.

d. Natalie motioned to vote.

i. Nick seconded

ii. Approval to fund this project passed with 6 in favor-2 opposed-2 abstentions.

13. Rebecca motioned move into discussion to fund RDC.

a. Kaitlyn seconded

b. Grace suggested funding this project at $15,000.

c. Jacob suggested not funding this project.

d. Patrick agreed with Jacob and did not think UFFAB should fund this project. He mentioned that UFFAB should not set the precedent of emergency funding for poor design and planning on the part of the University.

e. Kenzie said the funds for this project could better be spent elsewhere.

f. Dillon did not feel it is our responsibility to help the university with their accounting and planning errors.

g. Kaitlyn did not feel that the College should be punished for the University’s mistake. She also said it would be a great building to support.

h. Arnold would like to support all colleges but would like to fund projects that will directly affect students learning.
i. Rebecca said the reason the project was started without funding was because a donor promised $8 million.

j. Kenzie felt the Provost was being manipulative with the Board.

k. Simon advised the Board to focus on the funding criteria during this funding discussion.

l. Anthony would like to see a partial funding of $130,000.

m. Patrick said that the quality of the design of the project is lacking, in regards to criteria #4. He also felt that the project is not economically sustainable.

n. Kenzie said that because the College did not come to UFFAB before planning, the College is not justified in requesting funds from the Board.

o. Kaitlyn said that the building’s potential benefit students needs to be taken into consideration.

p. Rebecca motioned to vote to fund with rest of budget ($236,226.00).

q. Kaitlyn seconded
   i. Approval to fund this project passed with 6 in favor-3 opposed-1 abstention.

r. The funding letter will include specific language about the funding to only be used for academic areas.

14. Meeting Adjourned