

UFFAB Meeting Minutes
Thursday March 29, 2012
Room 303, General Services Building

Members Present: Tamla Blunt, Graduate School, Chair
Laura Bishop, College of Vet. Med. & Bio. Med., Vice Chair
Jesse Jankowski, College of Engineering
Lexi Evans, College of Natural Resources
Chris Johnston, College of Business
Cody Stoltenberg, College of Applied Human Sciences
Jacob Medina, College of Natural Sciences
Jenna Muniz, ULC
Vincent Crespino, College of Liberal Arts

Members Not Present: Melissa Ralston, College of Agricultural Sciences

Members at Large Present: Andy Shank, ASCSU Representative

Associate Members Present: Alexandria Schultz, College of Liberal Arts

Associate Members Not Present: Jessica Toney, College of Business
Matt Baca, College of Applied Human Sciences

Ex – Officio Members Present: Steve Hultin, Director, Facilities Management, Faculty Advisor
Robert Harris, SFRB Liaison
Kristi Buffington, Manager for Space and Information Management, Facilities Management
Lindsay Brown, Staff Support
Per Hogestad, Facilities Design Architect
Daphne Frey, Staff Support
Andrew Olsen, SFRB Co-Liaison

Ex – Officio Members Not Present: Matt Wurst-Caligiari, IT Staff Support, Facilities Management
Patrick Burns, Vice President of Information Technology and Dean of Libraries
Toni Scofield, Staff Support

Visitors: Mike Rush, Presenter
Rick Miranda, Provost
Megan Miller, Facilities Design Student
John Altschuld, Facilities Design Student
Kaylyn Ichmer, Facilities Design Student
Ryan Brenner, Warner College of Natural Resources Member
2012-2013

I. Call to Order

Meeting called to order.

II. Approval of Minutes from March 22, 2012

The minutes from March 22 need changes in Section III, paragraph 4 from FY 14, FY15, FY16 to FY 13, FY 14, FY 15 and the date at the top of the minutes needed to be changed to March 22.

A motion was made by Andy Shank and seconded by Jacob Medina to approve minutes with these corrections. Minutes approved.

III. Behavioral Science Building Addition with Lecture Hall: Mike Rush, University Architect, Facilities Man

Mike began by thanking the Facilities Design Students for all the work they have done in putting the presentation together. He also explained to The Board that this project is a great opportunity for the University and a great solution to the space problem.

Mike presented an animation of the addition to the Behavioral Sciences Building. He explained that the addition will be two stories high with a basement. The main level will have the auditorium and offices spaces, the second floor and basement will possibly be used for grad student space. The main level plan has offices around the edges, possible lounge spaces on the corners of the building, and an auditorium in the middle. The auditorium will be similar to the existing auditorium in BSB, and will rake from the ground level down, be more wide than long to accomplish a small classroom feel, and be able to seat 250 or more students. The exterior of the addition will match the current building's exterior and continue to use limestone and ashlar stone. The basement and second floor of this addition will be enclosed and have an HVAC system, but will not be completed in this phase of construction. Additional resources will be needed to finish these two floors off. He explained that both floors have great opportunity for many things. The second floor can hold 48 people and may also be a great location for small seminar rooms. As far as who gets these open spaces, Mike explained that one way to raise the money to finish the basement and second floor is to have departments put money into the project and fight for a spot. He also stated that contingency will generate through this project and be released back, which allows for possible money to finish the project.

Mike also explained that since this addition is going on the east side of BSB, one row of parking will be lost in the Animal Sciences parking lot. Mike plans to talk to Parking Services once this project begins, to explore the possibility of removing the center green median and restriping the parking lot. Accommodations will be made to reassemble the current walking/biking path on this side of the building. He told The Board that the look and feel of the inside, as well as the outside, of BSB won't change that dramatically with

this addition. Mike feels that this project will evolve and become more in-depth but overall the estimates are in the correct ballpark and this process is right on track. He also told The Board that this addition still has to go through code review, and that is currently the next step in the design process. Depending on procurement rules and the possibility of change orders to this addition, the project could be finished and able to be occupied as soon as January 2014. It is ideal to move as quickly as we can because construction costs are very low at this time and the market is seeing a slight increase in cost. This project works in favor of moving quickly because the design won't take much time. Mike believes this location is the best location for a large classroom at this time because green space is not being taken away and it is not necessary to take anything offline to build the addition.

The Board had many ideas about this addition. A concern was the increase in traffic flow through the building and the growth that may occur. Mike explained that additional entrances are being added to adjust for this problem. He feels that entering and exiting won't be overcrowded but the inside of the building may become more full. He also thinks the reopening of the Library and the addition of the study cube will accommodate this. Mike added that the mechanical space will be under the seats and restrooms will be added to the upper and lower levels in the addition area, but at this time no additional restrooms are added to the main level addition. This may evolve through the design process though.

A Board member brought up the idea of adding another lecture hall on top of this addition in the future. Mike explained that it would be very costly and take away from the design of the building. He also feels that the design review committee would challenge the idea of putting another addition on top. He explained that there are many other options on campus and they are all being looked at.

The Board also questioned if the addition would be LEED certified. Mike stated that the goal is to have the building be LEED Gold. The Board also thought of putting a green roof on top of the addition. Mike liked this idea but stated that the Colorado climate is just too dry to do this and CSU has tried it before and it was not successful. He also brought up the idea of making this roof space a patio area and explained that at this time it is not in the plan but that could change as things evolve.

IV. Legitimacy Vote for Behavioral Sciences Building Addition and Vote on Payment

Terms

A motion was made by Andy Shank to legitimize the Behavioral Sciences Addition and seconded by Jacob Medina.

Discussion began on how to fund this addition. Facilities Accountant Ginger Wright made a cash flow table for a five year plan consisting of \$800,000 for four years and the last year \$300,000. Another idea The Board came up with was to set aside \$875,000 for

four years. Another idea was to always spend 50% of the total funds on the addition. This idea played upon the expected increase in the number of students attending CSU allowing for the UFFAB budget to also increase. The final idea brought up by The Board was to save \$800,000 the first two years, then \$900,000, and finally a million so the project could be paid for in 4 years. This option also took into account the expected budget increase. In all this discussion, The Board agreed that they still wanted a significant amount of money to be available to spend on small projects around campus while paying for the BSB addition.

The Board narrowed the choices down to the four-year plan of \$875,000 and the plan of saving \$800,000 the first two years, then \$900,000, and finally a million. Through a show of hands the four-year plan of \$875,000 won 7:3.

A motion was made by Alexandria Schultz, which was approved by Vincent Crespin to spend \$875,000 for FY13, FY14, FY15, and FY16. Laura Bishop seconded this motion. All in favor of this motion; Cody Stoltenberg opposed. The motion carried.

V. Announcement

Jesse Jankowski wanted to remind everyone on The Board of the Topping off Party for Engineering II on April 12, from noon to 2pm. He stated that the Engineering Department would like to thank everyone for their contribution to the building, so they will be grilling on the second floor. Everyone on The Board is encouraged to attend.

VI. Next Meeting: April 5, 2012 5-6pm in 303 GSB

VII. Adjourn